1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 4 KEN ROBERTS, 5 Plaintiff, 6 2:09-cv-02382-PMP -VCF 7 ORDER JAMES GREG COX, et al., 8 Defendants. 9 Before the court are pro se plaintiff Ken Roberts' Motion To Strike (#60) and Notice of Motion 10 11 (#61). Defendants filed an Opposition on January 27, 2012. (#62). 12 In plaintiff's motion (#60), he asks this court to "strike defendants['] document #54 as being a duplicate [of] defendant[s'] document #53." Document #53 is defendants' response to plaintiff's 13 14 motion for declaratory judgment (#52). Document #54 is simply a corrected image filed in order to amend the date of Certificate of Service to reflect the date the document was actually served. (#54). 15 Therefore, striking the corrected image (#54) is not warranted. 16 17 Accordingly, and for good cause shown, 18 IT IS ORDERED that pro se plaintiff Ken Roberts' Motion To Strike (#60) is DENIED. 19 DATED this 31st day of January, 2012. 20 Can Facher C 21 22 **CAM FERENBACH** 23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 24 25 26